

**CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN
TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

THESIS



**By:
Vivian Cristina
171210021**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LITERATURE
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES
UNIVERSITY OF PUTERA BATAM
2021**

**CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN
TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Sarjana Sastra**



**By:
Vivian Cristina
171210021**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LITERATURE
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES
UNIVERSITY OF PUTERA BATAM
2021**

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini saya:

Nama : Vivian Cristina
NPM : 171210021
Fakultas : Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora
Program Studi : Sastra Inggris

Menyatakan bahwa “Skripsi” yang saya buat dengan judul:

CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH

Adalah hasil karya sendiri dan bukan “duplikasi” dari karya orang lain. Sepengetahuan saya, didalam naskah skripsi ini tidak terdapat karya ilmiah atau pendapat yang pernah ditulis atau diterbitkan oleh orang lain, kecuali yang secara tertulis dikutip didalam naskah ini dan disebutkan dalam sumber kutipan dan daftar pustaka.

Apabila ternyata di dalam naskah skripsi ini dapat dibuktikan terdapat unsur-unsur PLAGIASI, saya bersedia naskah skripsi ini digugurkan dan gelar akademik yang saya peroleh dibatalkan, serta diproses sesuai dengan peraturan perundang- undangan yang berlaku.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya tanpa ada paksaan dari siapapun.

Batam, 23 Januari 2021



Vivian Cristina
171210021

DECLARATION OF THE THESIS ORIGINALITY

I, Vivian Cristina

Hereby declare that the term paper entitled:

CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH

Is the real work of myself and I realize that thesis has never been published in other media before, partially or entirely, in the name of mine or others.

Batam, 23rd January 2021



Vivian Cristina
171210021

**CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN TV SHOW
“F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of the Sarjana Sastra
(S1)**

**By:
Vivian Cristina
171210021**

The thesis has been examined on the date as indicated below

Batam, 2nd March 2021

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Afriana', written in a cursive style.

**Afriana, S.S., M.Pd.
Supervisor**

ABSTRAK

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menganalisis jenis implikatur percakapan dan bentuk implikatur percakapan yang terdapat pada acara TV terkenal di Amerika bernama F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Peneliti menggunakan teori implikatur percakapan oleh Grice (1975) untuk mengidentifikasi dan menganalisis jenis implikatur percakapan dan teori bahasa kiasan oleh Leech (1969) untuk menganalisis bentuk implikatur percakapan. Desain penelitian ini adalah penelitian kualitatif. Peneliti menggunakan metode cakap oleh Sudaryanto (2015) untuk mengumpulkan data, metode daya pilah pragmatis oleh Sudaryanto (2015) untuk menganalisis data, dan juga menggunakan metode penyajian informal dan formal oleh Sudaryanto (2015) untuk menyajikan hasil penelitian. Peneliti mengumpulkan dan menganalisis tiga puluh data yang mengandung implikatur percakapan. Hasil yang diperoleh dari tiga puluh data tersebut, terdapat dua puluh data yang termasuk dalam implikatur percakapan partikularisasi dan sepuluh data diantaranya adalah implikatur percakapan umum. Implikatur percakapan partikularisasi adalah jenis percakapan yang paling banyak digunakan. Peneliti menemukan bahasa kiasan dalam data – data implikatur percakapan tersebut. Ada empat data yang mengandung personifikasi, tiga data mengandung simile, delapan data mengandung metafora, sembilan data mengandung hiperbola, tiga data mengandung ironi, satu data mengandung metonimia, satu data mengandung sinekdoke, satu data mengandung oksimoron dan tidak ada data yang mengandung litotes. Hiperbola merupakan bentuk implikatur percakapan yang paling banyak digunakan dalam acara TV tersebut.

Kata kunci: *implikatur percakapan, grice, pragmatis, bahasa kiasan*

ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to analyze the type of conversational implicature and the form of conversational implicature found in a famous American TV show, named F.R.I.E.N.D.S. The researcher used the conversational implicature theory by Grice (1975) to identify and analyze the type of the conversational implicature and the figurative language theory by Leech (1969) to analyze the form of the conversational implicature. The design of this research is qualitative research. The researcher used the interview method by Sudaryanto (2015) to collect data, the pragmatic identity method by Sudaryanto (2015) to analyze the data, and used both formal and informal presentation method by Sudaryanto (2015) to present the research result. The researcher collected and analyze thirty data that contain conversational implicature. The results are out of thirty data, there are twenty data of particularized conversational implicature and ten data of generalized conversational implicature. The particularized conversational implicature is the type of conversational that used the most. the researcher found figurative language in the conversational implicature. There are four data that contain personification, three data contain simile, eight data contain metaphor, nine data contain hyperbole, three data contain irony, one datum contains metonymy, one datum contains synecdoche, one datum contains oxymoron and there are no litotes. Hyperbole is the form of the conversational implicature that used the most in the TV show.

Keywords: conversational implicature, figurative language, Grice, pragmatic

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the researcher would like to praise and thank the Almighty God for the endless blessings that have given to the researcher. Because of the blessings, the researcher able to complete this undergraduate thesis entitled “Conversational Implicature Analysis in TV Show “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: Pragmatic Approach” as the requirement for the Degree of the Sarjana Sastra (S1). Secondly, the researcher would like to thank her parents for the encouragement and undivided support. The researcher also wants to express her gratitude and appreciation to Afriana, S.S., M.Pd. as her advisor who has contributed her ideas, support, and time in arranging this thesis.

Even though this research is still far from perfect, the researcher is fully aware that this thesis would not be completed without the help, guidance, and encouragement from people who involved both directly and indirectly, especially to:

1. Dr. Nur Elfi Husda, S.Kom., M.SI., Rector of Putera Batam University.
2. Dr. Hendri Herman. S.E., M.Si., Dean of Faculty of Social Science and Humanities of Putera Batam University.
3. Nurma Dhona Handayani, S.Pd., M.Pd , Head of English Department at Putera Batam University and also as the Academic Supervisor who has giving her supports, motivation, knowledge, and suggestion during the researcher study in Putera Batam University.
4. All Lectures of English Department for their knowledge, motivation, guidance, and patient during her study at Putera Batam University.
5. Her beloved big family, especially her sisters and cousins for the endless support.
6. All of her friends who are studying at Putera Batam University (esp. Rika Fiorensa, Yolanda Viviani, Anda Damayanti, Randy Sasanaputra, Hendra, Cheristine Aprilia, Arda Willika, and Elvina) which spent a lot of time together sharing ideas and knowledge and giving endless support and motivation to the researcher.

Batam, 23rd January 2021



Vivian Cristina

171210021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FRONT COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
STATEMENT PAGE	iii
DECLARATION OF THE THESIS ORIGINALITY	iv
APPROVAL PAGE	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background of The Research.....	1
1.2. Identification of the Problem	7
1.3. Limitation of the Problem.....	7
1.4. Formulation of the Problem	7
1.5. Objective of the Research	8
1.6. The Significance of the Research.....	8
1.6.1. Theoretically	8
1.6.2. Practically	8
1.7. Definition of the keys.....	9
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE	10
2.1. Pragmatics	10
2.2. Cooperative Principles	11
2.2.1. Maxim of Quality	12
2.2.2. Maxim of Quantity	12
2.2.3. Maxim of Relation	12
2.2.4. Maxim of Manner	13
2.3. Conversational Implicature.....	13
2.3.1. Generalized conversational implicature.....	14
2.3.2. Particularized conversational implicature	15
2.4. Figurative Language	16
2.4.1. Personification	16
2.4.2. Simile	17
2.4.3. Metaphor	18
2.4.4. Hyperbole.....	18
2.4.5. Litotes	19
2.4.6. Irony	19
2.4.7. Metonymy	20
2.4.8. Synecdoche	20
2.4.9. Oxymoron	20
2.5. Previous Research	21

2.6. Theoretical Framework	25
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	27
3.1. Research Design.....	27
3.2. Object of the Research	27
3.3. Method of Collecting Data.....	28
3.4. Method of Data Analysis	29
3.5. Method of Presenting Research Result	30
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH ANALYSIS AND FINDING	31
4.1. Research Analysis	31
4.2. Research Finding	63
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	64
5.1. Conclusion	64
5.2. Suggestion.....	66
REFERENCES	
APPENDIX	
Appendix 1. Research Data	
Appendix 2. Curriculum Vitae	
Appendix 3. Research Certificate	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 4.1 Data Analysis	60
Table 4.2 Table of the type of the conversational implicature findings.....	63
Table 4.3 Table of the form of the conversational implicature findings.....	63

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Theoretical Framework.....	26