

**CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN  
TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

**THESIS**



**By:  
Vivian Cristina  
171210021**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LITERATURE  
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES  
UNIVERSITY OF PUTERA BATAM  
2021**

**CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN  
TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Sarjana Sastra**



**By:  
Vivian Cristina  
171210021**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LITERATURE  
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES  
UNIVERSITY OF PUTERA BATAM  
2021**

## SURAT PERNYATAAN

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini saya:

Nama : Vivian Cristina  
NPM : 171210021  
Fakultas : Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora  
Program Studi : Sastra Inggris

Menyatakan bahwa “Skripsi” yang saya buat dengan judul:

### **CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

Adalah hasil karya sendiri dan bukan “duplikasi” dari karya orang lain. Sepengetahuan saya, didalam naskah skripsi ini tidak terdapat karya ilmiah atau pendapat yang pernah ditulis atau diterbitkan oleh orang lain, kecuali yang secara tertulis dikutip didalam naskah ini dan disebutkan dalam sumber kutipan dan daftar pustaka.

Apabila ternyata di dalam naskah skripsi ini dapat dibuktikan terdapat unsur-unsur PLAGIASI, saya bersedia naskah skripsi ini digugurkan dan gelar akademik yang saya peroleh dibatalkan, serta diproses sesuai dengan peraturan perundang- undangan yang berlaku.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya tanpa ada paksaan dari siapapun.

Batam, 23 Januari 2021



**Vivian Cristina**  
171210021

## **DECLARATION OF THE THESIS ORIGINALITY**

I, Vivian Cristina

Hereby declare that the term paper entitled:

### **CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN TV SHOW “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

Is the real work of myself and I realize that thesis has never been published in other media before, partially or entirely, in the name of mine or others.

Batam, 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2021



**Vivian Cristina**

171210021

**CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE ANALYSIS IN TV SHOW  
“F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of the Sarjana Sastra  
(S1)**

**By:  
Vivian Cristina  
171210021**

**The thesis has been examined on the date as indicated below**

**Batam, 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2021**



**Afriana, S.S., M.Pd.  
Supervisor**

## **ABSTRAK**

*Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menganalisis jenis implikatur percakapan dan bentuk implikatur percakapan yang terdapat pada acara TV terkenal di Amerika bernama F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Peneliti menggunakan teori implikatur percakapan oleh Grice (1975) untuk mengidentifikasi dan menganalisis jenis implikatur percakapan dan teori bahasa kiasan oleh Leech (1969) untuk menganalisis bentuk implikatur percakapan. Desain penelitian ini adalah penelitian kualitatif. Peneliti menggunakan metode cakap oleh Sudaryanto (2015) untuk mengumpulkan data, metode daya pilah pragmatis oleh Sudaryanto (2015) untuk menganalisis data, dan juga menggunakan metode penyajian informal dan formal oleh Sudaryanto (2015) untuk menyajikan hasil penelitian. Peneliti mengumpulkan dan menganalisis tiga puluh data yang mengandung implikatur percakapan. Hasil yang diperoleh dari tiga puluh data tersebut, terdapat dua puluh data yang termasuk dalam implikatur percakapan partikularisasi dan sepuluh data diantaranya adalah implikatur percakapan umum. Implikatur percakapan partikularisasi adalah jenis percakapan yang paling banyak digunakan. Peneliti menemukan bahasa kiasan dalam data – data implikatur percakapan tersebut. Ada empat data yang mengandung personifikasi, tiga data mengandung simile, delapan data mengandung metafora, sembilan data mengandung hiperbola, tiga data mengandung ironi, satu data mengandung metonimia, satu data mengandung sinekdoke, satu data mengandung oksimoron dan tidak ada data yang mengandung litotes. Hiperbola merupakan bentuk implikatur percakapan yang paling banyak digunakan dalam acara TV tersebut.*

**Kata kunci:** *implikatur percakapan, grice, pragmatis, bahasa kiasan*

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to analyze the type of conversational implicature and the form of conversational implicature found in a famous American TV show, named F.R.I.E.N.D.S. The researcher used the conversational implicature theory by Grice (1975) to identify and analyze the type of the conversational implicature and the figurative language theory by Leech (1969) to analyze the form of the conversational implicature. The design of this research is qualitative research. The researcher used the interview method by Sudaryanto (2015) to collect data, the pragmatic identity method by Sudaryanto (2015) to analyze the data, and used both formal and informal presentation method by Sudaryanto (2015) to present the research result. The researcher collected and analyze thirty data that contain conversational implicature. The results are out of thirty data, there are twenty data of particularized conversational implicature and ten data of generalized conversational implicature. The particularized conversational implicature is the type of conversational that used the most. the researcher found figurative language in the conversational implicature. There are four data that contain personification, three data contain simile, eight data contain metaphor, nine data contain hyperbole, three data contain irony, one datum contains metonymy, one datum contains synecdoche, one datum contains oxymoron and there are no litotes. Hyperbole is the form of the conversational implicature that used the most in the TV show.

**Keywords:** conversational implicature, figurative language, Grice, pragmatic

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the researcher would like to praise and thank the Almighty God for the endless blessings that have given to the researcher. Because of the blessings, the researcher able to complete this undergraduate thesis entitled “Conversational Implicature Analysis in TV Show “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”: Pragmatic Approach” as the requirement for the Degree of the Sarjana Sastra (S1). Secondly, the researcher would like to thank her parents for the encouragement and undivided support. The researcher also wants to express her gratitude and appreciation to Afriana, S.S., M.Pd. as her advisor who has contributed her ideas, support, and time in arranging this thesis.

Even though this research is still far from perfect, the researcher is fully aware that this thesis would not be completed without the help, guidance, and encouragement from people who involved both directly and indirectly, especially to:

1. Dr. Nur Elfi Husda, S.Kom., M.SI., Rector of Putera Batam University.
2. Dr. Hendri Herman. S.E., M.Si., Dean of Faculty of Social Science and Humanities of Putera Batam University.
3. Nurma Dhona Handayani, S.Pd. M.Pd , Head of English Department at Putera Batam University and also as the Academic Supervisor who has giving her supports, motivation, knowledge, and suggestion during the researcher study in Putera Batam University.
4. All Lectures of English Department for their knowledge, motivation, guidance, and patient during her study at Putera Batam University.
5. Her beloved big family, especially her sisters and cousins for the endless support.
6. All of her friends who are studying at Putera Batam University (esp. Rika Fiorensera, Yolanda Viviani, Anda Damayanti, Randy Sasanaputra, Hendra, Cheristine Aprilia, Arda Willika, and Elvina) which spent a lot of time together sharing ideas and knowledge and giving endless support and motivation to the researcher.

Batam, 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2021



**Vivian Cristina**

171210021



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>FRONT COVER PAGE</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>TITLE PAGE</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>STATEMENT PAGE</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>DECLARATION OF THE THESIS ORIGINALITY</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>APPROVAL PAGE</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background of The Research.....	1
1.2. Identification of the Problem .....	7
1.3. Limitation of the Problem.....	7
1.4. Formulation of the Problem.....	7
1.5. Objective of the Research .....	8
1.6. The Significance of the Research.....	8
1.6.1. Theoretically .....	8
1.6.2. Practically .....	8
1.7. Definition of the keys.....	9
<b>CHAPTER II REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE</b> .....	<b>10</b>
2.1. Pragmatics.....	10
2.2. Cooperative Principles .....	11
2.2.1. Maxim of Quality.....	12
2.2.2. Maxim of Quantity.....	12
2.2.3. Maxim of Relation .....	12
2.2.4. Maxim of Manner .....	13
2.3. Conversational Implicature .....	13
2.3.1. Generalized conversational implicature.....	14
2.3.2. Particularized conversational implicature.....	15
2.4. Figurative Language .....	16
2.4.1. Personification .....	16
2.4.2. Simile .....	17
2.4.3. Metaphor .....	18
2.4.4. Hyperbole.....	18
2.4.5. Litotes .....	19
2.4.6. Irony.....	19
2.4.7. Metonymy .....	20
2.4.8. Synecdoche .....	20
2.4.9. Oxymoron .....	20
2.5. Previous Research.....	21

2.6. Theoretical Framework .....	25
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD.....</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1. Research Design.....	27
3.2. Object of the Research .....	27
3.3. Method of Collecting Data.....	28
3.4. Method of Data Analysis .....	29
3.5. Method of Presenting Research Result .....	30
<b>CHAPTER IV RESEARCH ANALYSIS AND FINDING .....</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1. Research Analysis .....	31
4.2. Research Finding .....	63
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION .....</b>	<b>64</b>
5.1. Conclusion .....	64
5.2. Suggestion.....	66
<b>REFERENCES</b>	
<b>APPENDIX</b>	
Appendix 1. Research Data	
Appendix 2. Curriculum Vitae	
Appendix 3. Research Certificate	

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
<b>Table 4.1</b> Data Analysis .....	<b>60</b>
<b>Table 4.2</b> Table of the type of the conversational implicature findings.....	<b>63</b>
<b>Table 4.3</b> Table of the form of the conversational implicature findings.....	<b>63</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
<b>Figure 2.1</b> Theoretical Framework.....	<b>26</b>

# CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background of The Research

Communication is very important for our life stability as human beings to express ideas, intentions and knowledge. As a member of a social group/culture, there are many ways to communicate, one of them is through language. Language can be expressed in many ways but generally, language expressed through written and spoken form. Crystal (1985) believes that communication is basically the information transmission of some kind of message between a source and receiver. In language, communication between both source and receiver are human beings, and the messages transmitted vocally by the air (spoken form) or graphically through marks on a surface, usually paper (written form).

Language has 3 basic components which are sound, form, and meaning. Phonology studies about sounds, morphology and syntax study about forms, last but not least semantic and pragmatic study about meanings. With all these components, a conversation is possible. When the information transmission occurs, the communication may successfully be delivered but the hearer still has to recognize appropriately the meaning behind of the speaker's message. Pragmatics may elaborate on this phenomenon deeply.

When ones fail to express or deliver the ideas, intention or knowledge, it could be misleading and drove to a misunderstanding situation. To simplify the use of the language, we need rules to govern the way we put out information since we cannot always be expected to create effective communication without them. According to Brown & Yule (1983), a philosopher named H. P. Grice formulated a principle to govern or direct the speakers and hearers to create and understand certain interpretations of what have been said unless the utterance has a different goal or intention, and this principle called cooperative principle. Maxim of quality, maxim of quantity, maxim of relation, and maxim of manner are the sub-principles of the cooperative principle mentioned above.

Every maxim has the same purpose but different things to govern. When one tries to give as much information as one possibly can and is needed without overdoing it, this phenomenon is called maxim of quantity. Unlike maxim of quantity, maxim of quality is more focus on telling no false information without supported by firm evidence and only tries to be truthful. Like the name, maxim of relation focus on telling only things that pertain to the discussion and tries to be as relevant as possible in the discussion. And the last, maxim of manner is where the ones try to avoid ambiguity or obscurity by being as clear, brief, and orderly in what the one says as one possibly can. These maxims may affect each other especially the maxim of manner.

Since maxims applied in conversation, automatically it relates so much in our daily life. For examples, since a kid, being honest always taught by parents. Even by

now, being honest is always needed in every moment of human life and we also told to keep silent unless we know the truth (firm evidence) to avoid spreading false rumors, this phenomenon which what we called with the maxim of quality. When we talk it is important to note that we should talk just enough. Too much information given to the ones will cause bored. Vice versa if not enough amount of information given, the ones will unable to identify what the speaker trying to say, this phenomenon is what we called by the maxims of quantity. The next maxim requires relevance in the conversation context between what the speaker wants to say and what has been said before, this maxim is maxim of relation. If one of those maxims messed up, it may affect the maxim of manner. The absence of one of the maxims may break the maxim of manner which the purpose is to try to avoid ambiguity or obscurity by being as clear, brief, and orderly as possible.

In a conversation, a sentence may or may not have more than one meaning. To able to identify the meaning of the sentences, ones have to know the knowledge of the utterance circumstances (context). A maxim may intentionally broke by the speaker with the intention to create conversational implicature (Grice, 1975). According to Grice (1975), there are two types of conversational implicature: generalized conversational implicature and particularized conversational implicature. Generalized conversational implicature occurs without depending on the particular feature that found in the context. In other words, generalized conversational implicature does not require special or particular knowledge to able to understand the meaning of the

utterances. On the other hand, particularized conversational implicature depends on the particular feature that found in the context to able to understand the utterances.

According to Grice (1975), a maxim may intentionally be flouted by the speaker with the intention to create conversational implicature. It occurs widely and frequently. We can find it easily in the forms of figurative language. According to Abrams & Harpham (2009), words or phrases are used in a way that gives conspicuous change effects in the meaning. There are many kinds of figurative language. These are including personification, simile, metaphor, hyperbole, irony, litotes, metonymy, synecdoche, and oxymoron.

Many researchers analyzed conversational implicature in these previous years. One of the previous research is by George & Mamidi (2020) from the International Institute of Information Technology. The title of the journal is “Conversational implicatures in English dialogue: Annotated dataset”. The researchers believe that in order to communicate, dialogue between human often contains utterances that have implied meanings and are clearly understood by interlocutors. On the other hand, when human interacts with computer, the machine/ computer will fail to understand human utterances that contain implied meaning. Unless the computer/ machine is trained with a dataset that contains utterances, the implied meanings, and the context in which it is uttered. In the paper, the researchers analyze conversational implicature in TOEFL (Test of English as a Foreign Language) and movie scripts in IMSDb (Test of English



as a Foreign Language). The technique of collecting data is by transcribing the listening TOEFL test and scraping dialogues from IMSDb's movie script.

Based on the researcher's observation about the phenomenon of conversational implicature in daily life, the researcher is inspired to do research about conversational implicature. In this case, the researcher is interested in examining the conversational implicature in a TV show. The researcher chose an American television sitcom called F.R.I.E.N.D.S. It is one of the most popular sitcoms of all time. The sitcom is a TV series about six reckless adults (Rachel Green, Monica Geller, Chandler Bing, Joey Triabbiani, Phoebe Buffay, and Ross Geller) living in Manhattan, they go through family, love, drama, friendship, and comedy together. This sitcom uses some conversational implicature and figurative language in order to create a humor aspect in the sitcom.

In 1994, the first episode of the first season aired. There are 24 episodes in the first season with 22 minutes each episode including the intro. In the second episode of the first season entitled "The One with the Sonogram at the End" from 00:00 to 01:09 before the intro comes in, the best friends were sitting in a cafe where Rachel works and talking about what is the important thing to them in a relationship.

Monica : What you guys don't understand is for us, kissing is as important as any part of it.

Joey : Yeah, right. (chuckles)

Monica, Phoebe, and Rachel: \*stare\*

Joey : You serious?

Phoebe : Oh, yeah.

Rachel : Everything you need to know is in that first kiss.

Monica : Absolutely.

- Chandler : Yeah, I think for us kissing is pretty much like an opening act, you know? It's like the stand-up comedian you have to sit through before Pink Floyd comes in.
- Ross : Yeah, and it's not that we don't like the comedian. It's just that that's... that's not why we bought the ticket.
- Chandler: See, the problem is, though after the concert's over no matter how great the show was you girls are always looking for the comedian again. I mean we're in the car, fighting traffic. Basically, just trying to stay awake.
- Rachel : Yeah, well, word of advice. Bring back the comedian. Otherwise, next time you're going to find yourself sitting at home listening to that album alone.
- Joey : Are we still talking about sex?

*Source (F.R.I.E.N.D.S, n.d.)*

According to Grice (1975), particularized conversational implicature depends on the particular feature that found in the context to able to understand the utterances. The utterance said by Chandler is particularized conversational implicature type because the hearer wouldn't understand what Chandler is talking about if the hearer doesn't know the context of the conversation. Chandler flouted the maxim of quality and using the form of simile. According to Abrams & Harpham (2009), simile is a comparison between two different things and usually using "like" or "as". The simple example is "I think for us kissing is pretty much like an opening act." The conversational implicature is served in simile form because Chandler compares kissing to an opening act in a concert, specifically Pink Floyd's concert (an English rock band). Chandler does not really mean that kissing is similar to an opening act. There are just certain attributes that assumed to have similarities to an opening act.

The example given by the researcher above is only example of phenomena that use conversational implicature. To be a more detailed and scientific analysis, research

about conversational implicature is important to do. This research aims to clarify the conversational implicature types and forms used by people in TV shows. In this case, the conversational implicature in TV show F.R.I.E.N.D.S. Through theory-based research, conversational implicature analysis can be understood more clearly.

### **1.2. Identification of the Problem**

As explained on the background of the research, there are some problems found by in Grice's Maxims:

1. The use of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S".
2. The types of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S".
3. The forms of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S".

### **1.3. Limitation of the Problem**

Based on laid out identification of problems above, the writer chose two out of four identifications. Which are:

1. The types of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S".
2. The forms of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S".

### **1.4. Formulation of the Problem**

The problem's formulation will show as below based on the limitation of the problem:

1. What are the types of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S"?
2. What are the forms of conversational implicature in TV Show "F.R.I.E.N.D.S"?

## **1.5. Objective of the Research**

Based on the formulation of the problem, the objective of the research will lay out as below:

1. To analyze the types of conversational implicature in TV Show “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”.
2. To analyze the forms of conversational implicature in TV Show “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”.

## **1.6. The Significance of the Research**

### **1.6.1. Theoretically**

Theoretically, the purpose of this research is to analyze the types and forms of conversational implicature in the TV show “F.R.I.E.N.D.S”. The other purpose is this research expected to provide an extra reference or information in the pragmatic approach to Grice's maxims and conversational implicature.

### **1.6.2. Practically**

Practically, the researcher really hopes this research on Grice's maxim and conversational implicature will be able to contribute in good ways to not only in this faculty but to everyone. First, this research's result can assist students who want to learn more about Grice's maxim and conversational implicature. Second, this research's result can become a reference for those conducting the studies or research regarding cooperative principle or conversational implicature. Last, this result is anticipated to enhance the analysis of the cooperative principle or the conversational implicature linked to Grice maxims.

### 1.7. Definition of the keys

- Cooperative principle** : A principle that governed conversation.  
(Grice, 1975)
- Maxim of quality** : Telling no false information without supported by firm evidence and only tries to be truthful. (Grice, 1975)
- Maxim of quantity** : Give as much information as one possibly can and is needed or required without overdoing it. (Grice, 1975)
- Maxim of relation** : Telling only things that pertain to the discussion and tries to be as relevant as possible in the discussion. (Grice, 1975)
- Maxim of manner** : Try to avoid ambiguity and obscurity by being as clear, brief, and orderly as one possibly can. (Grice, 1975)
- Conversational Implicature** : What is meant by a speaker's utterance that not part of what is explicitly said. (Grice, 1975)

## **CHAPTER II**

### **REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE**

#### **2.1. Pragmatics**

Pragmatics is a linguistics branch that deals with the use of language in social contexts and how meanings can be produced and understood through language. Pragmatics is defined as contextual language study (Birner, 2012). Pragmatics is therefore concerned with the meaning of a speaker in a specified context and how the context effects what is said. This linguistics branch is about how a speaker utilizes language, and what a speaker means.

Yule (1996) explains that pragmatics is the study of how listeners can make inferences about what is being said to achieve an understanding of the speakers' intended meaning. Through pragmatics, their assumptions, their purposes, and the kinds of actions they do when they speak, people can know the intended meanings of other people. According to Yule (1996), pragmatics is the study of the contextual meaning of the speaker, how people can communicate more than what is said, and the study of connected discourse expression.

There is a wide range of pragmatics. In its study, Pragmatics has some fields, i.e. deixis, speech acts, cooperative principle, and conversational implicature. Birner (2012) states that the term deixis is used to indicate certain contexts by using a linguistic expression. In other words, the use of deixis is to refer to something depends

on the context of the speaker. While speech acts as eight other topics, it is believed that it is an actionable word (Yule, 1996). The acts that are performed can be statements, promises, requests, or commands. Then, Grice (1975) coined the Cooperative Principle for the first time. Yule (1996) adds that there will be cooperation between people involved in a conversation. There are several principles called maxims related to humor. Raskin (1985) argues that a fundamental assumption of the following remarks is that many humors involve breaking one or more of the sub-principles that would be discussed later. And in relation of that, conversational implicature is something more deeply meant, implied, or suggested from what is said (Yule, 1996). It is mostly about the utterance of a speaker that can be understood through context-based interpretation of the meaning.

## **2.2. Cooperative Principles**

Grice (1975) states that when people communicate, they assume, and without realizing it, they will cooperate in conversation. According to Grice (1975), in the forms of maxims that are the same as rules, this cooperative conversation can be achieved. Grice (1975) adds that these set of assumptions can guide people to formulate in an effective conversation and effective use of language. The guidelines called maxims are formed in four basic conversation maxims which together express a general principle of cooperation. A speaker and listener must therefore fulfill cooperative principles consisting of four maxims in order to achieve effective communication: quality, quantity, relation, and manner.

### **2.2.1. Maxim of Quality**

Maxim of quality requires the speaker to be honest and not say anything that he or she believes to be false or lack of evidence (Grice, 1975). For example:

A: What is the capital of Australia?

B: Canberra

Speaker B observes the maxim of quality in the interaction because the speaker tells the truth that Australia's capital is Canberra.

### **2.2.2. Maxim of Quantity**

Ones who offer too little information risk their hearers being unable to identify what they're talking about because they're not explicit/ clear enough; those who give more information than the hearers need risk boredom. Therefore, maxim of quantity requires a speaker to provide the correct/right amount of information (Grice, 1975).

For example:

A: Hi, what would you like?

B: A glass of hot tea, thanks.

Speaker B observes maxim of quantity in the interaction because the speaker provides the right amount of required information.

### **2.2.3. Maxim of Relation**

To say something relevant about the context and what has already been said is required in this maxim (Grice, 1975). The example is in the following sentence "Now I mentioned yesterday that we had promised to go watching movie with Anna." The



speaker observes maxim of relation because, by uttering I mentioned yesterday, he points to what was said before.

#### **2.2.4. Maxim of Manner**

To explain things in an orderly and clear manner, avoiding both ambiguity and obscurity is required in this maxim (Grice, 1975). It is illustrated in the following sentence “I opened the door and take a big step forward”. Maxim of manner is observed in the example as the speaker presents the events in an orderly manner.

### **2.3. Conversational Implicature**

In a conversation, a sentence may or may not have more than one meaning. To able to identify the meaning of the sentences, ones have to know the knowledge of the utterance circumstances (context). According to Grice (1975), a maxim may intentionally be flouted by the speaker with the intention to create conversational implicature. For example,

1. *war is war or women are women*

The two utterances above are flouting the maxim of quantity. The utterances are being noninformative as they are not explicit/ clear enough. The utterances of course are informative depending on ones' ability to identify the implicated.

2. *you are the stars to my sky*

The utterance above is flouting maxim of quality. The utterance uses one of the figurative languages, which is metaphor. According to Abrams & Harpham (2009), metaphor is a word or expression to compare two different things without emphasizing

the comparison. The speaker tries to compare/ show the resemblance of some features from the mentioned substances to be more/ less fanciful.

3. A: Didn't you wear that outfit last week, B?

\*silent\*

B: A, *I think Mr. Holmes is looking for you.*

The utterance said by B is violating the maxim of relation. What was said by A is disrespectful and B intentionally to say something not relevant or out of context to politely let A know that he/ she is being disrespectful and B doesn't want to talk about it.

4. *I don't like how she makes the sound of a word or part of a word in a particular way.*

The utterance above is violating the maxim of manner. It fails to keep the information brief. The speaker could just say "I don't like how she pronounces things" or " I don't like her accent" but the speaker intentionally flouting the maxim just to emphasize how much the speaker dislike her pronunciation.

According to Grice (1975), there are two types of conversational implicature: generalized conversational implicature and particularized conversational implicature.

### **2.3.1. Generalized conversational implicature**

According to Grice (1975), generalized conversational implicature occurs without depending on the particular feature that found in the context. In other words, generalized conversational implicature does not require special or particular

knowledge to be able to understand the meaning of the utterances. It usually involved the expressed proposition. For example, *Mr. Robinson is going to meet a woman this evening*. From the utterance, we understand that Mr. Robinson will meet a woman other than Mr. Robinson's wife, mother, or sister. Perhaps Mr. Robinson will meet a client or a friend as there is no specific detail about the woman. Another example is *I saw Mr. Robinson walking into a house*. The house entered by Mr. Robinson could be anyone's house and normally the hearer will be surprised if it is Mr. Robinson's house. The linguistic phenomena can easily be built by using a noun that is general, not specific, or unknown (indefinite article). Another example that doesn't involve an indefinite article,

Cindy: Did you tell Barbara and Lennon?

Max: I told Lennon.

The utterance that was said by Max implicates that he only told Lennon and not Barbara.

### **2.3.2. Particularized conversational implicature**

According to Grice (1975), particularized conversational implicature depends on the particular feature that is found in the context to be able to understand the utterances. For example,

A: What happened to my couch? And why are there tissues everywhere?

B: I don't see any of your dogs.

The utterance that said by B is flouting the maxim of relation in order to create conversational implicature. The utterance implicates that B suspect A's dogs to be the cause of the mess that happened in A's place. Without the context, the utterance that said by B wouldn't be understood.

## **2.4. Figurative Language**

According to Abrams & Harpham (2009), figurative language was made when the speaker/ writer wants to give conspicuous change effects in the meaning by using words/ phrases that different from what competent language user believes to be apprehended as the standard meaning or order. From what has been stated, we can conclude that figurative language is a language that its words/ phrases used by the speaker/ writer are not the literal meaning and are intentionally changed by the speaker/ writer to fulfill their goal which is according to Abrams & Harpham (2009) is to give special meaning or effect. According to Leech (1969), there are nine types of figurative language. These types include personification, simile, metaphor, hyperbole, irony, litotes, metonymy, synecdoche, and oxymoron.

### **2.4.1. Personification**

According to Leech (1969), personification is when an abstraction is figuratively represented as a human. Abstraction doesn't only stand for ideas or events, but also for animals, and inanimate things. As also stated by Arvius (2003), personification is when something that is not human described by the speaker/ writer to have an ability to die, live, act, think, or feel like the way a human does. For example,

*That meme has been living rent-free in my head.*

“living rent-free in \*possessive pronoun\* head” has been very popular lately. It was used frequently by the social media community. It supposed to mean that one has been thinking about something that they are not supposed to be thinking about for that much amount of time. The thought has been consuming their head with nothing in return. The *meme* is a noun (n). An inanimate. Therefore, it does not have the ability to live or rent as a human does.

#### **2.4.2. Simile**

Leech (1969) stated that simile is an overt comparison and metaphor is a covert comparison. This means that it is possible to create a roughly corresponding simile from a metaphor. It can be done by writing the tenor (subject) and vehicle (metaphorical term) side by side and point out the similarity between the tenor and vehicle. In simile, the similarity pointed out by using like or some other formal indicator. In other words, Abrams & Harpham (2008) explains simile is a comparison between two different things and usually using “like” or “as”. For example,

*Sally makes me anxious like coffee does.*

The example stated above compares two different things. Which are Sally and coffee. Sally is a human and coffee is an inanimate. The writer does not really mean that Sally is similar to coffee. There are just certain attributes between Sally and coffee that assumed to have similarities. Which in this case, how both of them make the writer anxious.

### 2.4.3. Metaphor

As explained above, we can conclude that metaphor is an expression or word that in the usage shows that one thing is applied to a completely different thing (tenor and vehicle), without asserting a comparison (Abrams & Harpham, 2009). This means metaphor doesn't need any indicator (like the usage of “like” or “as” in simile) to indicate the comparison. For example,

*Barney's bangs were a curtain.*

The utterance above compares two different things without using an indicator. The utterance compares Barney's hair bang to a curtain. The writer does not really mean that Barney's hair bang is similar to a curtain. There are just certain attributes that assumed to have similarities between Barney's hair bang and a curtain.

### 2.4.4. Hyperbole

According to Leech (1969), hyperbole is often related to personal values and sentiments. When the speaker/ writer makes an exaggerated subjective claim, the hearer/ reader wouldn't able to judge the truth unless the hearer/ reader has knowledge of the speaker/ writer and competent knowledge of the society's general standards. In other words, hyperbole is an overstatement or an exaggerated statement that intentionally made by the speaker/ writer. To know either the statement is credible or not, the hearer/ reader needs to be more sensitive. For example,

*I miss you a ton.*

This is an informal way to say “I miss you so much.” According to Leech (1969), hyperbole expresses an intensification, to make an impression of how deep is the speaker/ writer feelings that can't be explained by a plain/ simple expression.

#### **2.4.5. Litotes**

According to Leech (1969), litotes is sometimes is used to show a particular kind of an understatement in which the speaker/ writer uses a negative expression because the positive expression would have given a more direct and forceful impression. Both hyperbole and litotes use to give color in expressing personal feelings or opinions. It uses to express the lacking of commitment by the speaker/ writer. Therefore, the statement implies ones' desire to suppress or hide their true attitude (Leech, 1969). For example, ‘It’s not bad’; ‘She’s not the smartest girl I have ever met’; etc.

#### **2.4.6. Irony**

According to Brooks (1971), irony is a tension that emerges between multiple meanings of a word. These meanings emerge because of the pressure that created when in a certain situation/ context, certain words are present/ said. In addition to that, Leech (1969) states that Irony working as a mode of expression that postulates a double audience. One of the double audiences is aware of what is the speaker's intention and the other one takes it as its literal meaning. For example, an employee is late to work and saw his flat tire. He said, "great, I guess it's my lucky day!"

### **2.4.7. Metonymy**

Webster's Third New Dictionary in Leech (1969) says, metonymy is a figure of speech that consists in using the name of the one thing for that of something else with which it is associated. In other words, Metonymy is the substitution of the name of one thing with something else that related. For example, *the white house declared war*. White house is an official residence of USA's president. It used the word "white house" to refer to "president".

### **2.4.8. Synecdoche**

According to Arvius in Handayani (2017), synecdoche is a meaning shift in the use of a lexeme or a longer expression within a part-whole relationship. Leech (1969) believes the traditional figure of synecdoche is identified with a rule which applies the term for the part to the whole. As conclusion, synecdoche is a meaning shift that used to refer a whole as a part. For example, *she has five mouths to feed at home*. "Mouths" is a part of body. Mouths represent people. So, "five mouths" interprets as "five people" or in this case, "five family members."

### **2.4.9. Oxymoron**

According to Leech (1969), oxymoron is combining two different expressions. These expressions are semantically incompatible and when combines they may don't have conceivable literal reference to reality. For example, *parting is such a sweet sorrow*. We probably interpret them as a mixture of sweetness and sorrow.



## 2.5. Previous Research

Many researchers analyzed conversational implicature in these previous years. Below are some previous researches found consists of five international journals and two national journals.

The first international journal is by George & Mamidi (2020) from the International Institute of Information Technology. The title of the journal is “Conversational implicatures in English dialogue: Annotated dataset”. The researchers believe that in order to communicate, dialogue between human often contains utterances that have implied meanings and are clearly understood by interlocutors. On the other hand, when human interacts with computer, the machine/ computer will fail to understand human utterances that contain implied meaning. Unless the computer/ machine is trained with a dataset that contains utterances, the implied meanings, and the context in which it is uttered. In the paper, the researchers analyze conversational implicature in TOEFL (Test of English as a Foreign Language) and movie scripts in IMSDb (Test of English as a Foreign Language). The technique of collecting data is by transcribing the listening TOEFL test and scraping dialogues from IMSDb's movie script.

The second international journal is by Igwedibia (2017) from University of Nigeria. The title of the journal is “Grice’s Conversational Implicature: A Pragmatics Analysis of Selected Poems of Audre Lorde”. In this research, the researcher believes that there are a lot of previous researchers that have been studied Lorde's poem in

various theoretical dimensions, but the researcher believes there is still no evidence that anyone has studied it in pragmatics implications, specifically conversational implicature using Grice's theory. The researcher aims to find out the extent to which Grice's maxims could be applied in Lorde's poems and also to analyze what maxim that violated or flouted by Lorde's in order to write her poems.

The researchers of the journal entitled “Linguistic Analysis of Malawi Political Newspaper Cartoons on President Joyce Banda: Towards Grice's Conversational Implicature” are Kondowe, Ngwira, & Madula (2014). The researchers aiming to analyze the verbal and non-verbal linguistic features of Malawi newspaper political cartoons in portraying Malawi political leaders. The researchers use Grice's theory and Twenty Point of Order cartoons that depict President Joyce Banda and her government personnel was selected from The Nation newspaper from October 2012 to May 2013 as the object of research. The result of the research is the researchers found the non-observe maxims by flouting, suspending, and opting out.

The forth journal is by Sommai & Padgate (2013) with journal “A Conversational Implicature Analysis in JK Rowling's Harry Potter and the Prisoner of Azkaban”. The researchers aim to analyze 30 selected dialogues of the 7 main characters in J.K. Rowling's Harry Potter and the Prisoner of Azkaban to find how is the speaker convey their intended meanings and the hearers recognize the intended meaning using Grice's theory. The result of this research there are 75 conversational implicatures contained in the dialogue and it used in 19 different situations. The researchers also found that

the succession of the intended meaning transmission process is depending on ones' ability to identify the implicated by aware of the situation context, utterances, listeners' background knowledge, and conversational maxims knowledge.

The last international journal is by Al-Qaderi (2015) with the journal entitled "Conversational Implicature in Arabic: A Pragmatic Analysis of Applying Flouting the Maxims to the Yemeni Dialect". The researcher aims to analyze conversation implicature application in the Arabic language using Grice's theory. The researcher set up semi-structured interviews and interviewed 15 interviewees. The researcher uses both qualitative and quantitative research methods. The data collection was done by audio-recorded interviews, transcribed, translated, and interpreted it all. The result turns out that Grice's theory of conversational implicature can be applied to the Arabic language, particularly the Yemeni dialect. The researcher also found data that flouted all the four maxims, but maxim of quantity is mostly found.

The sixth journal is by Risdianto (2011) from Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University. The title of the journal is "A Conversational Implicature Analysis in Oscar Wilde's Short Story "Happy Prince"". The researcher aims to identify and describe ten conversational implicature utterances that use Grice's theory found in Oscar Wilde's short story entitled "Happy Prince". The researcher uses a qualitative research method. The steps used by the researcher to obtain the data are the provision of data, data classification, data analysis, and the presentation of data analysis. The result that concludes by the researcher is there are six maxims of politeness principle,

two maxims of cooperative principles, and two maxims of ironical principles found in the short story.

The seventh journal is by Al Fajri (2017) with the journal entitled “The Functions of Conversational Implicatures In Print Advertising”. The researcher believes that advertisement designers tend to flout maxims in order to create a certain communicative effect. The use of conversational implicature in the process of making an advertisement giving many benefits to both the advertisers or the company. The researcher aims to analyze the usage of conversational implicature in 10 advertisements taken from men and women English and Indonesian Magazines issued in 2016 and 2017.


The last journal entitled “Analisis Implikatur Wacana Percakapan Dalam Novel “Masih Ada Hari Esok Karya Daniel Steel”” by Simanjuntak (2017) from Putera Batam University. The researcher aims to analyze and describe the use of implicature in a novel entitled “Masih Ada Hari Esok” by Daniel Steel using Grice's theory. The researcher uses a descriptive research method. In this journal, the researcher concluded that there are eleven cases of implicature obtained in the novel "Masih Ada Hari Esok" by Daniel Steel.

From the previous researches, the researcher found some similarities and differences between these eight previous researches and the current research. The similarities that the researcher found are the previous researches adopted the same research design which is qualitative and using the same conversational implicature

theory which is by Grice (1975). The differences are the variety of research objects (movie, TV show, newspapers, poems, languages, novel, short story), and research methods.

## **2.6. Theoretical Framework**

It could be seen from the theoretical framework above, the researcher used pragmatic as the approach and conversational implicature as the object of the research. The researcher analyzed the data through the types of conversational implicature by Grice (1975) and figurative language theory by Leech (1969) to analyze the forms of conversational implicature. In types of conversational implicature, there are generalized conversational implicature and particularized conversational implicature. On the other hand, figurative language has personification, simile, metaphor, hyperbole, irony, litotes, metonymy, synecdoche, and oxymoron.



**Figure 2.1** Theoretical Framework

## **CHAPTER III**

### **RESEARCH METHOD**

#### **3.1. Research Design**

Research is a scientific way to get the information with a specific purpose and usefulness of a particular. The aim of this research is to clarify the conversational implicature types and forms used by people in the TV show. Sugiyono (2012) defines the types of research into two; they are Quantitative Research and Qualitative Research. Quantitative research based on the quantity measurement or amount.

The type of this research is qualitative research because the conversations observed are in the form of words and are not focus on numbers. Qualitative research is often called naturalistic research methods because the researcher conducts natural setting (Sugiyono, 2012). Natural setting relates to the natural object which implies that the object does not expand or grow larger and the researcher does not manipulate this object. With this method, the researcher can only observe and take notes of the events in order to analyze the object. Here, the researcher acts as the tool by having knowledge of the data (object and theory), process analysis, and data collection.

#### **3.2. Object of the Research**

The object of the research is very essential in the order to do scientific analysis. In this research, the object of the research is conversational implicature. Conversational implicature is a way to explain the meaning of the sentences that may not explicitly be

said by the speaker. In order to support the analysis process, the researcher used some theories by experts. The object of the research was used to find the data of the research in the data source. The data source is an American television sitcom called “F.R.I.E.N.D.S.”. The TV show has 10 seasons with the total of 236 episodes. The data were thirty utterances that contain both conversational implicature and figurative language.

### **3.3. Method of Collecting Data**

According to Sudaryanto (2015) there are two ways to collect data, which are *metode simak* (observation method) and *metode cakap* (interview method). The observation method uses to observe the use of language. The interview method is used when an interview is occurred between the researcher as the interviewer and the interviewee to obtain the data.

In this research, the researcher used the observation method as qualitative research indicates, as this research observes conversations contain conversational implicature in a TV show called “F.R.I.E.N.D.S.”. The researcher also used *teknik catat* (note-taking technique) by Sudaryanto (2015) as the researcher take notes of the conversational implicatures found in the TV show. The process of collecting data is done as follows:

1. The first step, watched the TV show and observe the conversations.
2. Then, screenshot the scenes where the conversational implicatures occurred.



3. The next step is to take notes of all the conversational implicatures occurred in the TV show.
4. The last step, the researcher will classify the data found into the types and forms of conversational implicature.

### **3.4. Method of Data Analysis**

Analysis data is needed in order to answer the formulation of the problems. The data were first collected by using the observation method and will be analyzed by using the pragmatic identity method. According to Sudaryanto (2015), the pragmatic identity method is used to identify a response or reaction that occurred after a certain utterance was said by the speaker. In the pragmatic identity method, the researcher used the pragmatic competence in-dividing technique to analyze the data. The process of analyzing data is done as follows:

1. First, the researcher will interpret the implicated meaning of utterances based on the context.
2. The next step is to classify the data based on the types of conversational implicature.
3. Then, the researcher analyzed the form of conversational implicature.
4. Lastly, the researcher made a discussion about the finding data based on types and forms of conversational implicature theories.

### **3.5. Method of Presenting Research Result**

After the data have been analyzed, the researcher needs to present the analysis result. There are two methods in presenting the result of analysis data. There are Informal and formal presentation method. According to Sudaryanto (2015), the informal presentation method is a presentation of data analysis uses words, phrases and sentences. On the other hand, the formal presentation method is a presentation of data analysis that uses symbols, numbers, and table. In presenting the research result, the researcher uses both of them. The researcher uses table to classify the data and words, phrases, and sentences to present the analysis result.