

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

#### 5.1 Conclusion

This research under the approach of Discourse Analysis was performed to seek knowledge in the use of language and its role in the course of debate. By doing this study, the researcher revealed that a kind of system exists in the spoken interaction to exchange the speaking turn. Therewith, this study testifies to the availability of a systematic relationship between turn-taking and power in the conversation.

The turn-taking strategies are divided into three strategies namely taking the turn, holding the turn, and yielding the turn. Taking the turn strategy is the most frequent strategy employed by both debate candidates and the moderator. Especially Donald Trump, who frequently utilized interrupting as his strategy to take the floor and express himself as much as he could. Meanwhile, Joe Biden was found to have severely used starting up strategy in his performance to emphasize his notions. Kristen Welker as the moderator holds the power to control the flow of the debate by providing the topic and questions to the candidates, she generally carries out prompting which is part of yielding the turn strategy as the result.

In like manner, the power devices define the speaker's capability in a conversation which consists of interruption, enforcing explicitness, controlling topic, and formulation. The power devices emerged from each participant

consciously or unconsciously due to the turn-taking strategies employed. This research transpired that Donald Trump with the interruption as his dominant power during the debate. Meanwhile, Joe Biden is more likely to perform formulation in order to emphasize his answer. Kristen Welker is entrusted with the authority to control the debate as the moderator, hence, the power she holds is controlling topic.

To sum up, the usage of the turn-taking strategy evidently affects the continuity of a conversation and its quality. Unconsciously, each participant involved in a conversation has their own strength or power that may vary and it depends on its discourse and where the interaction is held. In political discourse, the candidates have a tendency to convince the public. Hence, the ability to master the floor and show dominance is a common thing that happened in a debate

## **5.2 Recommendation**

In regard to this study, the researcher realized that this work is indeed far beyond perfection. Nevertheless, it is expected that the result of conducting this research will enhance a broad understanding of the existence of turn-taking strategies that unconsciously people use in daily interaction. The findings are also anticipated to shed light on the power devices that could be useful to master a conversation.

At last, the researcher expects that future researchers are motivated by this study to conduct deep research regarding turn-taking. Perhaps there will be another point of view, motives, or even span-new knowledge discovered from the forthcoming research. Eventually, this kind of study will help society to

understand the things that were never realized before that are actually beneficial.

Thus, the limitation of this research could be the idea for further study.