

**AN ANALYSIS OF IMPOLITENESS
STRATEGIES IN UGLY BETTY MOVIE :
PRAGMATICS APPROACH**

THESIS



**By:
Dewi Nuryanti
151210010**

**ENGLISH LITERATURE DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES
UNIVERSITY OF PUTERA BATAM
2020**

**AN ANALYSIS OF IMPOLITENESS
STRATEGIES IN UGLY BETTY MOVIE :
PRAGMATICS APPROACH**

THESIS

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Sarjana
Sastra**



**By:
Dewi Nuryanti
151210010**

**ENGLISH LITERATURE DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES
UNIVERSITY OF PUTERA BATAM
2020**

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan :

1. Skripsi ini adalah asli dan belum pernah disajikan untuk mendapatkan gelar academic (sarjana dan atau magister), baik di Universitas Putera Batam maupun di perguruan tinggi lain.
2. Skripsi ini adalah murni gagasan, rumusan, dan penelitian saya sendiri, tanpa bantuan pihak lain, kecuali saran dari pembimbing.
3. Dalam skripsi ini terdapat karya atau pendapat yang telah ditulis atau dipublikasikan orang lain, kecuali secara tertulis dengan jelas dicantumkan sebagai acuan dalam naskah dengan disebutkan nama pengarang dan dicantumkan dalam daftar pustaka.
4. Pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sesungguhnya dan apabila dikemudian hari terdapat penyimpangan dan ketidakbenaran dalam pernyataan ini, maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi akademik berupa pencabutan gelar yang telah diperoleh, serta sanksi lainnya sesuai dengan norma yang berlaku di perguruan tinggi.

Batam, 8 Februari 2020

Yang membuat pernyataan,

Dewi Nuryanti

151210010

DECLARATION OF THE THESIS ORIGINALY

I, Dewi Nuryanti, NPM 151210010

Here with declare that the thesis entitled :

AN ANALYSIS OF IMPOLITENESS STRATEGIES IN UGLY BETTY MOVIE : PRAGMATICS APPROACH

Is the real work of myself and I realize that is the thesis never been published in other media before partially or entirely, in the ,name of mine or others.

Batam, 8th February 2020

Dewi Nuryanti

151210010

**AN ANALYSIS OF IMPOLITENESS STRATEGIES
IN UGLY BETTY MOVIE : PRAGMATICS
APPROACH**

THESIS

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Sarjana
Sastra**

**By:
Dewi Nuryanti
151210010**

This thesis has been examined and approved on the date as indicated below

Batam, 8th February 2020

**Zia Hisni Mubarak, S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIDN: 1006058601**

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini adalah penelitian pragmatic yang menyelidiki hubungan antara bahasa dan konteksnya khususnya penggunaan strategi ketidaksopanan. Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mengidentifikasi jenis-jenis strategi ketidaksopanan yang digunakan oleh para penutur di dalam film *Ugly Betty* serta untuk mengetahui faktor para penutur menggunakan strategi ketidaksopanan berdasarkan konteksnya tersebut. Penelitian ini adalah penelitian deskriptif kualitatif. Objek penelitiannya yaitu strategi ketidaksopanan di film *Ugly Betty*. Dalam pengumpulan data, peneliti menggunakan metode observasi dengan teknik simak libat bebas cakap. Dalam analisis, peneliti menggunakan metode padan pragmatics dari Sudaryanto (2015). Selanjutnya, teori Culpeper digunakan untuk menganalisis jenis strategi ketidaksopanan yang digunakan oleh para penutur serta didukung oleh teori Jay untuk menemukan faktor para penutur yang menggunakan strategi ketidaksopanan tersebut. Metode yang digunakan untuk presentasi hasil analisis adalah metode informal. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa strategi ketidaksopanan yang digunakan dalam film *Ugly Betty* yaitu ketidaksopanan secara langsung, ketidaksopanan positif, ketidaksopanan negatif, ketidaksopanan secara tidak langsung dan menahan kesopanan. Kemudian ada enam faktor yang mempengaruhi penutur di film *Ugly Betty* memilih kata ketidaksopanan. Faktor tersebut adalah faktor yang dipengaruhi oleh umur, jenis kelamin dimana penutur menggunakan kata tabu yang berhubungan dengan jenis kelamin, status sebagai kekuatan untuk melakukan etika yang tidak baik, dan melihat penampilan fisik, pengaturan social fisik dan juga diri sebagai pelanggar.

Kata kunci: strategi ketidaksopanan, pragmatics, film *Ugly Betty*.

ABSTRACT

This research is a pragmatic study that investigates the relationship between language and its context, especially the use of impoliteness strategy. The purpose of this study to identify the types of impoliteness utterance used by speakers in the Ugly Betty Movie and to find out the factors of speakers using the impoliteness strategy based on the context. This research is a qualitative descriptive study. The object of the research is the impoliteness strategy in the Ugly Betty Movie. In collecting data, researchers used the observation method with the technique of nonparticipant. In the analysis, researchers used the pragmatics method by Sudaryanto (2015). Furthermore, the theory proposed by Culpeper used to analyze the types of impoliteness strategy by speakers and supported by theory proposed by Jay to find out the factors of speakers using these impoliteness strategies. The method used for presenting the results of the analysis is an informal method. The results showed that the impoliteness strategies used in Ugly Betty Movie are bald on record impoliteness, positive impoliteness, negative impoliteness, off-record impoliteness and withhold politeness. Then, there are six factors that influence the speakers in Ugly Betty Movie chose impoliteness strategy. These factors are factors influenced by age, sex where speakers use taboo words related to gender, status as a power to do bad attitude, and see physical appearance, physical-social setting and also self as wrongdoer.


Keywords: Impoliteness strategies, pragmatics, and Ugly Betty movie.

MOTO AND DADICATION

MOTTO

**Believe in our ability when we do it sincerely,
Everything will go well if we always include God in our life**

DEDICATION



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah Robbil Alamin. The first foremost the researcher would like to thank to Allah SWT, the compassionate and the merciful for blessing that enable to finish this thesis. This thesis is the partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of sarjana sastra (S1) in English Literature Department of Putera Batam University.

The researcher realized that this thesis still in weakness. The researcher wishes for the critics and suggestion to raise this work to be better. This thesis would not finish without the help of some people. For those, the researcher would like to thank to:

1. Dr. Nur Elfi Husda, S.Kom., M.SI. as the rector of Putera Batam University.
2. Rizki Tri Anugrah Bhakti, SH., MH. as the Dean of Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities.
3. Afriana, S.S., M.Pd. as Head of English Department of Putera Batam University.
4. Zia Hisni Mubarak, S.Pd., M.Pd. as a Thesis Supervisor of English Department of Putera Batam University.
5. All of Lecturers of English Department of Putera Batam University.
6. My beloved Father and Mother who always support the researcher.
7. My Beloved sisters.
8. All of my friends in English Department.

May Allah bless them. Amen.

Batam, 8th February 2020

Dewi Nuryanti

151210010

TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
FRONT COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
PERNYATAAN	iii
DECLARATION PAGE	iv
APPROVAL PAGE	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
MOTO AND DADICATION	viii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENT	x
LIST OF TABLE	xii
LIST OF FIGURE	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Research	1
1.2 Identification of the Problem	5
1.3 Limitation of the Problem	5
1.4 Formulation of the Problem	5
1.5 Objective of the Research	6
1.6 Significance of the Research	6
1.7 Definition of Key terms	7
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	8
2.1 Pragmatics	8
2.1.1 Impoliteness	9
2.1.2.1 Types of Impoliteness	9
2.1.2.2 Factors of Impoliteness	13
2.2 Previous Research	16
2.3 Theoretical Framework	21
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	22
3.1 Research Design	22
3.2 Object of the Research	22
3.3 Method of Collecting Data	23
3.4 Method of Analyzing Data	23
3.5 Method of Presenting the Result Analysis	24
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH ANALYSIS AND FINDING	25
4.1 Research Analysis	25
4.1.1 Types of Impoliteness	26
4.1.1.1 Bald on Record Impoliteness	26
4.1.1.2 Positive Impoliteness	28

4.1.1.3 Negative Impoliteness	34
4.1.1.4 Off-Record Impoliteness	36
4.1.1.5 Withhold Impoliteness	37
4.1.2 Factors of Impoliteness	38
4.1.2.1 Age	38
4.1.2.2 Sex	39
4.1.2.3 Status	41
4.1.2.4 Ethnic Group	42
4.1.2.5 Physical Appearance	43
4.1.2.6 Social-Physical Setting	45
4.1.2.7 Non-human Wrongdoer	47
4.1.2.8 Self as Wrongdoer	47
4.2 Research Finding	49
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	53
5.1 Conclusion	53
5.2 Suggestion	54

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

Research Attachment

Biography

Research Certificate

LIST OF TABLE

Table 4.2 Percentage Table Analysis of Type of Impoliteness Strategy	51
Table 4.3 Percentage Table Analysis of Factor of Impoliteness	52

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1 Theoretical Framework	22
--	----

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Research

Communication for human being is one of strategy to interact each other in daily life. It can be seen in human life when the human cannot interact to each other without communication. The human needs language to build a good communication, even the human who cannot speak also need one way to build communication by using sign or symbol like body language. Communication and language cannot be separated because communication without a language makes the interaction in human fails. Therefore, it can be concluded that the communication can run if there is a language as a link to interact each other.

Communication is not only found in daily life. The communication also can be found in a movie. As cited in Wikipedia, Movie is same as a film is type of visual communication that uses to play many pictures along with sound to tell a stories or teach people something. In daily life, most of people always watch movie for entertainment. Now days, movie is as role model for human being as a trend in which the human mostly follow the fashion, gesture, even till follow language style who the character used in the movie. It is uncommon for most of people imitate their idol in their favorite movie that people watched. It is why so many people change behavior due often watch the movie.

There are several advantages and disadvantages when people use movie as role model for them. The advantages of the movie are as the entertainment when

people was bored, to know the habit & culture from other country, get experience that never get before, as teaching media, etc. Then, the disadvantages of the movie mostly come from the kid. When the kids watch the movie the kids are lazy to study, often the kids imitate the main characters utterance and mostly impolite utterance. For example when the characters in the movie upset and express the anger with the words bastard, stupid, bitch, and etc. It makes when the kids also follow to use those words to express their anger even though those words is words which doesn't deserve to use the kids.

Based on the phenomenon that the researcher found the disadvantages in the movie. The researcher interested to analyze the movie that has purpose to know how many impolite utterances that the character in the movie used. As Culpeper (2011) states that impoliteness has characteristics that related between language and behavior which are considered as harmful deviations. Impoliteness is unit of pragmatics field study. According to Culpeper (2014) Pragmatics is one of branch in linguistics that study about language meaning related with the context that used between speaker (writer) and the hearer (reader). Thus, one of phenomenon that makes the researcher interested and related with impoliteness is about analyzing of impoliteness from one movie from a comedy drama of American television series that directed by John Terlesky that is *Ugly Betty Movie*.

Ugly Betty Movie tells about the story of a girl who has ugly appearance. The main character of the movie is Betty. Betty is the girl who smart, kind, and polite person. But, Betty has unlucky when Betty applying job because of her appearance. Her appearance makes the people who see her to be unfeeling,

unappreciative, and respect with her. Such as the conversation bellow is one of phenomenon impoliteness strategies that the researcher found in *Ugly Betty Movie*.

HRD : Betty Suarez ?

Betty : Hi, that's me. I have a hard copy of my resume if you need it.
Should I follow you?

HRD : **Actually, there's been a mistake.**

Example above is just one of phenomenon of impoliteness strategies that the researcher found in *Ugly Betty* movie. The conversation is kind of impoliteness strategies from positive impoliteness strategy of disinterested because in the situation of conversation above. Betty is waiting to her name called by HRD to interview, when her name was called then Betty answer the HRD's call and the HRD look at her. Suddenly, HRD cancel out to interview her. Because HRD to be disinterested when HRD sees Betty's appearance. This factor is caused by physical appearance. So, the researcher is interested to research about impoliteness strategies that can be found in the character's utterance in *Ugly Betty* movie.

In order to get references compared this thesis about analyzing impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty* movie, the researcher seeks some previous studies related with impoliteness that aim for the originality of this research. It means, this research is never done by other researchers. The first research is the research by Erza & Hamzah (2018) from University of Negeri Padang. The research by Erza & Hamzah (2018) analyzed about impoliteness that found some comments on *instagram* of male and female entertainers. The aim of the research of Erza & Hamzah (2018) was to find out analysis of impoliteness strategies used the haters

on *instagram* comments of male and female entertainers. Erza & Hamzah (2018) used pragmatics approach and theory of impoliteness strategies by Jonathan Culpeper. The similar research between this research and Erza and Hamzah's research are have had the same object of the research is impoliteness strategies. Then, the difference of the research between this research and Erza & Hamzah's research are different data, data of Erza & Hamzah's research is Comments Of Male-Female Entertainers that contain with impoliteness strategies from *instagram*. Beside that, the data of this research is impoliteness strategies that the characters used in *Ugly Betty* Movie.

Moreover, the next previous research that has found by the researcher from Methias (2011) from Department of English language and literature in University of King Saud in Saudi Arabia country. The research of Methias (2011) was about analysis of impoliteness or underpoliteness of a Christmas dinner scene from Dickens's great expectations. The similar between this research and the Methias (2011) are same theory from Culpeper. Then, the difference of research between this research and the research of Methias (2011) are different source of data. This research took data from impoliteness strategies that the characters used in *Ugly Betty* movie, then the data of the research from Methias (2011) had source from a Christmas dinner scene from Dickens's Great Expectations. Based on previous research in above, the researcher wants to analyze some impoliteness strategies that can be found in *Ugly Betty* movie.

1.2 Identification of the Problem

After describing problem of phenomenon on above, the researcher makes identification of the problem into five categories. There are:

1. Types of impoliteness strategies used.
2. Types of face threat used.
3. The reason of the characters used impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty Movie*.
4. The factors that influence the characters used impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty Movie*.

1.3 Limitation of the Problem

The researcher limits the problem into two categories based on identification of the problem in which major problems mostly occur. Such as :

1. The types of impoliteness strategies that found in ugly Betty movie.
2. The factors that influence the characters used impoliteness strategies in ugly Betty movie.

1.4 Formulation of the Problem

The researcher can formulate main problems of this research to answer as explained in following research questions:

1. What are types of impoliteness strategies that found in ugly Betty movie?
2. What are factors that influence the characters used impoliteness strategies?

1.5 Objective of the Research

The researcher found some objectives in this research and why the researcher does this research below:

1. To find out and analyze types of impoliteness strategies that the characters used in *Ugly Betty Movie*.
2. To find out and analyze factors that influence the characters used impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty Movie*.

1.6 Significance of the Research

1. Theoretically

Theoretically, this research is useful and has many purposes to the readers. First, this research is expected to give the readers further information about the results of this study are expected to know the types of the impolite languages or impolite gestures while speaking based on the context and the meaning of the speaker used impoliteness strategies. Second, this research is also expected to increase our knowledge and perception as good as in the application of materials science research, especially our knowledge of impoliteness. The last, this research will grow a comparison for other future research.

2. Practically

Practically, this research is intended to be useful for the following parties. First, the results of this study are advised to apply one easy way to understand the differences existing language. Second, the right manner use of language to

understand each other in everyday conversation like converse in the family and surrounding.

1.7 Definition of the Key Terms

- Movie : Is same as a film is type of visual communication that uses to play many pictures and sound to tell a stories or teach people something. (Wikipedia, n.d.)
- Impoliteness : Characteristics that related between language and behavior which are considered as harmful deviations. (Culpeper, 2011)
- Pragmatics : One of branch in linguistics which study about language related with the context which used between speaker and the hearer (Culpeper & Haugh, 2017)

CHAPTER II
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND THEORETICAL
FRAMEWORK

2.1 Pragmatics

Language is important to communicate for human being. Human uses language to interact and language as link between human in society. Language has science that study about language itself. The study of language is linguistics. There are some branches in linguistics to identify language. Such as: Syntax, Semantics, Pragmatics, Sociolinguistics, etc. Meanwhile, one of branch of linguistics that relevant with this research is pragmatics. Pragmatics is one of branch in linguistics field that studies language based on the context. Some expert of linguistics stated. The first, according to Leech (2014) pragmatics is the study about using of language between speaker and hearer that relevant with the meaning. O’Keeffe, Clancy, & Adolphs (2011) also stated that pragmatics is one of study about language that identify language depends on context. Meanwhile, Culpeper (2014) also stated that Pragmatics is one of branch in linguistics that study about language meaning related with the context that used between speaker (writer) and the hearer (reader). Thus, based on statement of some linguists about definition of pragmatics, the researcher can conclude that pragmatics is one of science of language and branch of linguistics study that study about language that related with the context between speaker or writer and listener or reader.

2.1.1 Impoliteness

Between polite and impolite utterance or written, the people usually use impolite utterance in their life. Whenever people use impolite utterance, it is only for making a joke, hint, etc. Culpeper (2011) states that impoliteness has characteristics that related between language and behavior which are considered as harmful deviations. Moreover, impoliteness can also make the other person or someone who is addressed by the offender giving behavior can be angry, lack of self-confidence, and most severely someone will be afraid of the other person when in interaction.

2.1.1.1 Type of Impoliteness

Impoliteness has been divided into five categories. The researcher took the theory from Culpeper (2011) to analyze and collect of kind of utterance that contain with impoliteness utterance of haters. There are, the first is bald on record impoliteness, second is positive impoliteness, third is negative impoliteness, the fourth is sarcasm politeness and the last is withhold politeness.

2.1.1.1.1 Bald On-Record Impoliteness

The first type of impoliteness that provided by Jonathan Culpeper is bald on-record impoliteness. According to Culpeper, Haugh, & Kádár (2017) bald on-record impoliteness is behavior or attitude that performed by the speaker in which the speaker attacks the feeling of the listener directly, clear and unambiguous speech. Then, Culpeper (2011) said that bald on record impoliteness also one of

choice in strategy in which this situation becomes threats to hurt feeling is minimal. It can said that bald on record impoliteness is one of type of impoliteness that has characteristic in which speaker attacks directly the feeling of listener and clear and not ambiguous speech for the situation in which the threats to hurt feeling is narrow.

When someone offer some food to her or his friend brokenhearted friend :

“Eat! Starve won’t bring her back!”

In utterance above, it happened when the Dani saw the beggar in side of street who seem got angry. Fortunately for the beggar, Dani had a bread that he bought in the mini market. He approached the beggar and throw his bread and said to the beggar, it made the beggar shocked and confused with Dani. Dani behavior and utterance is a command without any regressive action.

2.1.1.1.2 Positive Impoliteness

The next type of impoliteness is positive impoliteness. According to Culpeper, Haugh, & Kádár, (2017) positive impoliteness is the design of strategies with the purpose to damage the positive face wants of the addressee. Such as when the hearer is not interested about the topic that the speaker talk about, ignore the other, using language that is not understood, didn’t care the other, use an inappropriate identity markers, use taboo words, call the other names, seek disagreement, use secret or secretive words.

For example, there are two people and they are friends. In this case, A makes a joke to B, A hides B’s pen during B is studying.

Sam : “hey... bastard gives my pen now!!”

was angry because his pen was hidden by A. He throws some swearing by using called the other names to call B’s name.

2.1.1.1.3 Negative Impoliteness

Beside positive impoliteness, Culpeper also made opposite type of positive impoliteness which is negative impoliteness. Whether the purpose of positive impoliteness is planned to upset the positive face wants of addressee, negative impoliteness is the opposite. Culpeper et al., (2017) state that negative impoliteness has the purpose to upset the negative face wants of addressee. Such as frighten, snub or snigger, abase, be contemptuous, don’t realize the other seriously, underestimate the other, attack space of the other literally or metaphorically, connect the other with a negative aspect explicitly (personalize, by using the pronouns ‘I’ and ‘You’), and put indebtedness of the other on the record.

Example :

Jeremy : “I will kill you if you tell someone else”

It happened when Jeremy stolen diamond of his mother in the cabinet, unfortunately his servant saw. Jeremy was shocked and strangled his servant’s neck and he told the servant to hidden the incident. This phenomenon of utterance above contain with impoliteness strategy of frighten.

2.1.1.1.4 Off-Record Impoliteness

Moreover, off-record impoliteness also type of impoliteness that introduced by Culpeper (as cited in Bousfield 2008) states that its type is a replacement to the ‘meta-strategic’ nature of sarcasm. According to Culpeper et al., (2017) this type is purposed to interdiction that performed by means of an implicature but in such a way that one attributable intention clearly outweighs any others. For example : some students got a discussion and should choose one of them to be a leader in their group.

Ina : I will be a leader in our group.
 Sam : **We should have a smart leader Ina, so don’t you.**

In conversation above, there are two participants. There are Ina and Sam. Ina is one of student who has standard ability than Sam. Sam is a smart student in their class. So, that’s why Sam attacked Ina by intention clearly which mean she doesn’t deserve to be a leader.

2.1.1.1.5 Withhold Politeness

After that, the last type of impoliteness is off-record impoliteness. This strategy is considered to holding back politeness. As Culpeper et al., (2017) said that this is one of type impoliteness in which the speaker hold of politeness work where it would be expected or it is not able to work politely in an expected condition. For example, when someone receives a gift from a friend and he fails to say thank you for the gift he received, it is considered as deliberate impoliteness.

Example :

Benny : this is for you.

Marry : what is that ? oh poor food, hahaa... thanks, I will give it for my pet.

Conversation above happened when Benny gave the food to Marry for her lunch, Marry accepted the food but denied for eat the food from Benny. So that is why Marry initiative to give the food to her pet. It assumed that the food from Benny is poor food only deserve for her pet because of Benny from poor family. It can be seen the phenomenon when Marry didn't appreciate what Benny given. Marry used withhold politeness to attacked Benny.

2.1.1.2 The Factors of Impoliteness

After discussing about type of impoliteness strategies, the researcher also wants to analyze about some factors that influence the characters used impolite utterances that proposed by Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008) in case of impolite communication.

2.1.1.2.1 Age

The factor that always happened in everyday life is age. Age can influence the people use positive or negative utterance when people speak. According to Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008) factor of age is more dominant influenced to people select about the words to speak with the hearer. Such as child, teenager, adult, elder. In some context, the younger and older offenders raise less anger than adult and teenager.

2.1.1.2.2 Sex

The second factor why people do an offence that often appears when people communicate each other is sex. This factor as Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008) is one of powerful dimensions of people communication that related with gender of listener or speaker. Especially, it related with respect to sweating and insulting behavior (sic-passim). For example : the word of *bitch* is target to attack female and the phrase so of *bitch* for males. So, it can be said that sex as one of factor that cause to appear negative attitude that relevant with language through the taboo words.

2.1.1.2.3 Status

Moreover, the third factor of offenders that use some impolite language is status. According to Jay as cited in Bousfield (2008), this factor appears because of economic or social background. Such as rich, poor, amount of education, occupation, or authority effect the way people use anger. It means that, the offenders use status as one of factor that why people use negative attitude.

2.1.1.2.4 Ethnic Group

After that, the fourth factor of offenders is ethnic group. Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008) assumed that the ethnic group has a characteristic to use and choose an expression when the speaker is angered by the offender or ethnic itself. It means that ethnic group's factor is the anger used the characteristic that usually use in the group itself. For example : in Indonesia when someone angry then show

the fist that means to threatening the opponent.

2.1.1.2.5 Physical Appearance

The fifth factor also influences the offenders gives negative attitude or utterance is physical appearance. Physical appearance is type of offence that offender use some taboo words to hint the hearer, as Jay cited in Bousfield (2008) says that physical appearance is everything of real deviation from normal that the speaker hint to the hearer. Such as size of body, abnormal face appearance, weight, deformity, and body movement or action cover the majority of these angry violates noting differences of physical. For example : when the speaker uses abnormality words to insult the hearer is like calling a fat person is elephant or pig and said ugly related negative attitude.

2.1.1.2.6 Social-Physical Setting

Next, the sixth factor is social-physical setting. This factor is caused by an utterance or expression from the offenders. Jay as cited in Bousfield (2008) states that the factor of social-physical setting when person utters an anger to other based on the relationship. Such as leisured/business, private/public, homogeneous/mixed grouping, family/strangers. In these conditions, the offenders express their anger to the hearer. Exactly, the offender will express under these conditions in which its expression isn't highly sanctioned.

2.1.1.2.7 Non-human Wrongdoer

Based on Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008), this caused of factor non-human wrongdoer is pursued by a dog, hit by bird droppings, seeing poor condition of weather. These incidents happen where a person doesn't cause the anger; even the incidents were more accidental or non-intentional in nature. This dimension is included in the event scenario because of the many categories of non-human categories. In these instances the person is angry and emotional and explosive, the force and sensitivity of which is related to the degree of injury or injury experienced. The intent is not to communicate anger but to express frustration.

2.1.1.2.8 Self as Wrongdoer

The last factor is self as wrongdoer. According to Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008), in this case the offender or behavior is one's self. The self-purpose pointed anger is to take a procedure of self-corrective. For example : when the student got low grade in the mathematic exam, "next time study hard for this lesson before you get the exam, dumb ass!". The expression shows a function for teaching herself and at the same time allows herself to let off a little steam and also use of one of word expletives such as, dam it, shit, or as a case of frustration for her.

2.2 Previous Research

Before the researcher does this research about impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty Movie*, the researcher find some previous research that related with the topic of the researcher analyzed. The purpose of the previous research that related

with the topic of this thesis is as comparison and references if this thesis is not researched yet by other researcher. First, the research was written by Erza & Hamzah (2018) from University of Negeri Padang. The research who has been written by Erza & Hamzah (2018) analyze about impoliteness that found some comments on *instagram* of male and female entertainers. The aim of the research of Erza & Hamzah (2018) are to find out analysis of impoliteness strategies used the haters on *instagram* comments of male and female entertainers. Erza & Hamzah (2018) used pragmatics approach and theory of impoliteness strategies by Jonathan Culpeper. The similar research between this research and Erza and Hamzah's research are have had the same object of the research is impoliteness strategies. Then, the difference of the research between this research and Erza & Hamzah's research are different data, data of Erna & Hamzah's research is Comments Of Male-Female Entertainers that contain with impoliteness strategies from *instragram*. Besides that, the data of this research is impoliteness strategies that the characters used in *Ugly Betty* Movie.

The second, the researcher found previous research from Shinta, Hamzah, & Wahyuni (2018) from Faculty of Language and Art in University of Negeri Padang in Indonesia country. The research is about impoliteness strategies that used by supporters and detractors of Ahok in their comments by gender. The similar the research and the research of Shinta et al., (2018) used theory of Culpeper and the research of Shinta et al., (2018) has result about the type of impoliteness in which this type most often occur in male supporter's and impoliteness strategies used by detractors in comments are positive impoliteness.

Male supporters get frequency 45% with the total is 62 and from male detractors get 52% with the total 79. Then, the type of impoliteness in which this type most often occur in female supporter's and impoliteness strategies used by detractors in comments are positive impoliteness also. Female supporters get frequency 38% with total 51 and female detractors get frequency 49% with the total 77.

In the second previous research, the researcher found difference of analysis that is the researcher used the data from impoliteness strategies by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie* while the data of Shinta et al., (2018) used data from comments by supporters and detractors of Ahok in *Instagram*.

The third, the researcher found previous research from Mirhosseini, Mardanshahi, & Dowlatabadi (2017) from Department of English language and literature in University of Arak in Iran country. Their research is about analysis of impoliteness strategies in gender differences between two characters in movie of mother. The similar the research and the research of their research are same used the theory of impoliteness from Culpeper and the result of Mirhosseini et al., (2017) has result of collecting data of impoliteness utterance that found in the mother movie which contain impolite utterance. Type of impoliteness that often occur in the mother movie is positive impoliteness that get from male character utterance which 34 utterances. Then the type of impoliteness that rarely occurs in the mother movie utterance is sarcasm and bald on-record impoliteness that get from male character utterance which 2 each utterance.

Meanwhile, the researcher has found the difference of researches between this research and the research of Mirhosseini et al., (2017) are difference used of

title of source the data in movie. This research gets data from impoliteness strategies by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie* then the data of Mirhosseini et al., (2017) get the source of data from the Mother movie.

The fourth is previous research that the research found from Methias (2011) from Department of English language and literature in University of King Saud in Saudi Arabia country. The research of Methias (2011) is about analysis of impoliteness or underpoliteness of a Christmas dinner scene from Dickens's great expectations. The similar between this research and the Methias (2011) are same used theory from Culpeper. Then, the difference of research between this research and the research of Methias (2011) are different source of data. This research gets data from impoliteness strategies that the characters used in *Ugly Betty* movie, then the data of the research from Methias (2011) gets source from a Christmas dinner scene from Dickens's Great Expectations.

The fifth came from Savitri (2018) from faculty of humanities in Diponegoro University. Savitri (2018) used impoliteness and rudeness as the subject in her research to analyzed the dominant phenomenon of the object that is in Sawungkampret comics by Dwi Koendoro. After the researcher read this previous research form Savitri (2018), the researcher found similar and different analysis. The similar between Savitri (2018) and the research are same about the subject in which used theory of Culpeper but the research didn't include rudeness. Then, the different both researches are in the object, Savitri (2018) used the object from comic than the research used the object from the movie.

Next previous research is the sixth that the research found from ILIĆ (2018) who came from faculty of philosophy in Niš University at Serbia. The research of ILIĆ (2018) used two theory from impoliteness and narcissism to analyze *Gone with the Wind* novel as the object. ILIĆ (2018) had result that the most appear is positive and negative impoliteness. After the researcher compared the researcher of ILIĆ (2018), there are some similarities and differences that the researcher found. first is from similarities of both research, the research of ILIĆ (2018) same about the subject that used to analyzed the object, but the researcher only used impoliteness strategy to analyzed. Then, the differences are in the object, ILIĆ (2018) used novel of *Gone with the Wind* than the researcher used Movie of *Ugly Betty*, and the most dominant appear in the analysis of ILIĆ (2018) is negative and positive impoliteness but in the researcher is only positive impoliteness.

Then, the last previous research that has found by the researcher from Halim (2015) from faculty of language and linguistics in University of Malaya in Kuala Lumpur country. The research analyzed about impoliteness strategies that used in a politician's *Facebook*. The similar between the research of researcher and the research of Halim (2015) are the same used theory impoliteness from Culpeper. Then, the differences of this research and the research of Halim (2015) are different source of data. The source of data in this research from impoliteness strategies by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie*, but the source of data from the research of Halim (2015) get from *Facebook* of a politician.

2.3 Theoretical Framework




Figure 2.1 Theoretical Framework

As seen in figure above, in the first of this research explain about pragmatics. Moreover, analyze type of impoliteness strategies that proposed by Culpeper, Haugh, & Kádár (2017). There are some types of impoliteness strategies are bald on-record impoliteness, positive impoliteness, negative impoliteness, off-record impoliteness and the last withhold politeness strategies. All of theories are applied to analyze the impoliteness strategies that found in utterance by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie*. Then, there are some factors that influence the offenders used impoliteness provide by Jay (as cited in Bousfield, 2008). There are age, sex, status, ethnic group, social-physical setting, non-human wrongdoer, self as wrongdoer.

CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

According to Neuman (2003), the research design divided into two kinds, there are quantitative and qualitative research. Quantitative research is research design in which it explains a particular data by using gathering numerical. Whereas, Qualitative research is also same as research design in which a particular data can be explained by producing descriptive of the data in form of words spoken or written of the people. Then, descriptive of the data is the data that exist in words of written or spoken from people that the researcher is going to analyze. When doing this research, the researcher uses kind of research design from qualitative research, it is used to analyze the type impoliteness that found in conversation of characters in *Ugly Betty Movie*.

3.2 Object of the Research

Object of the research is very important in the research because to analyze some problems, the researcher needs to find first data that can be applied with theory. The researcher should be clever to decide the object that used in this research. The object of this research is impoliteness that used by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie*. Furthermore, all of data is about utterances that contain impoliteness by Characters in *Ugly Betty Movie*.

3.3 Method of Collecting Data

The researcher used observational method by Sudaryanto (2015) when process of collecting data. Sudaryanto (2015) said that observational method is one of method which has a purpose to observe the data to receive collecting data. The techniques that can be used to collecting the data has two types; they are participatory and non-participatory. In the technique of participatory, the researcher implicates as participates in the research to collect the data. The technique of participatory is different from the technique of non-participatory. In the technique of non-participatory, the researcher doesn't implicate in the research to collect the data. The researcher only observes every utterance that contain impoliteness strategies related the factor why characters used impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty Movie*. The researcher used technique of non-participatory to find and collect the data in this research because the researcher didn't implicate in the movie. The researcher did some steps to collect the data. First, the researcher observed every utterance of the characters in *Ugly Betty Movie* by watching the movie in www.hooq.tv or HOOQ application. Second, the researcher transcribed every utterance in *Ugly Betty Movie*. Third is analyzing all impoliteness strategies by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie*.

3.4 Method of Analyzing Data

The researcher applied impoliteness strategies from theory that proposed by Culpeper (2011) and supported by Culpeper, Haugh, & Kádár (2017) and some factors that influence the offenders used impoliteness was provided by Jay (as

cited in Bousfield, 2008). All of theories were applied by using Pragmatics Identity Method. According to Sudaryanto (2015), Pragmatics Identity Method is the method that depend on contextual of situation. The researcher used Pragmatics Identity Method because the researcher needed context of situation when analyze impoliteness strategies in *Ugly Betty Movie*. In case, it referred to the types of impoliteness and factors of characters in *Ugly Betty Movie* used impoliteness strategies.

3.5 Method of Presenting the Result Analysis

After the researcher does analysis, this research needs to be presented. Sudaryanto (2015) says that the method of presenting data has two types for presenting the result analysis. There are formal and informal methods. The presenting result analysis with formal method means that the researcher uses the diagram, table, number and symbol in presenting the result of analysis. Whereas the informal method means the researcher points to the method of presenting the result analysis by using words or sentences. It means the result of analysis can be described by using words or sentences. Thus, presenting the result of analyzing data in the research will be presented by the research by using informal method. The result of the analyzing data, the researcher took some sentences of transcribing as the data that related with impoliteness strategies by characters in *Ugly Betty Movie* with the purpose to make this research can be understood by the reader.