CHAPTER V
CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

This chapter consists of two parts, conclusions and suggestions. Conclusions are drawn based on the research questions that have been formulated. It consists of the final conclusion of each objective in this study. In addition, in the second part, some suggestions regarding the research are given to several related parties.

5.1. Conclusion

In consonance with the findings and discussion in the prior chapter, some conclusion related to the flouting maxim uttered in the Coronacast podcast can be concluded as follows.

Referring to the data analysis dealing with the types of flouting maxim, the speakers in Coronacast execute four types of flouting maxim, they are quantity, quality, relevance and flouting maxim of manner. The most frequently flouting maxim uttered by the speaker is maxim of relevance, as a doctor and speaker in the podcast, the speakers try to deliver the information accurately, especially for the young listeners by giving an irrelevant response, thus, the information given is comprehensible. That purpose also generates flouting maxim of quantity, as the second type of flouting appears in the conversation. The speaker deliberately contributes too much information than its required, in other to provide accurate information. Meanwhile, the types of flouting maxim quality and manner are the two type that is rarely found in the podcast.

Then, dealing with the reason of flouting, there are only three reasons that lead the speakers to flout the maxims; conflictive, convivial and collaborative reason. Meanwhile, there is no reason for competitive found in the occurrences,

because the purpose of the podcast is to deliver information to the listeners, thus there is no competition between illocutionary goal towards social goal. The most dominated reason for flouting maxim in the podcast is the collaborative reason, it is arising from the primary purpose of the Coronacast podcast to report and deliver information related to coronavirus.

5.2. Suggestions

From the result of research, the suggestions that give for the reader as well to other researchers who are doing the research related to this material, there are:

1. For the next researcher, it would be better to use another corpus for analysis the next flouting maxim of cooperative principle thesis. Therefore, the researcher expects that another researcher will conduct a further study focusing on the flouting maxim of the cooperative principle.
2. Hopefully, this research would be a reference for further study of flouting maxim in the learning process for all of the linguistic students who are majoring English Department.